


Andy Michael Thompson



Plaintiff, Pro Se

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 25 2025	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: 	DEPUTY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Andy Michael Thompson, Plaintiff Pro Se,

v.

Nevada Secretary of State, Defendant.

Case No. 2:25-cv-01284-CDS-EJY

**PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR CONFIRMATION OF
COMPLETE DOCKET AND NOTICE OF RECORD
CLARIFICATION**

Plaintiff Andy Michael Thompson, appearing pro se, respectfully submits this Request to ensure that the Court's docket in this matter accurately reflects all filings and communications required to be made part of the public record.

This request is made solely for the purpose of safeguarding the completeness of the record, particularly as the pending Objection to Magistrate Judge's Orders (ECF 25) is fully submitted and awaiting the Court's review. Plaintiff makes no allegation of impropriety and does not suggest that any off-record communication has occurred.

Rather, because the correctness of the record is essential to Article III review, Plaintiff seeks confirmation as a matter of ordinary case administration.

I. BASIS FOR REQUEST

1. Several filings in this matter—including Plaintiff's Motions at ECF 21 and 22, the subsequent denials at ECF 23 and 24, and Plaintiff's Objection at ECF 25—depend upon the procedural history as reflected on the docket.
2. As of the date of this filing, no response, notice of non-opposition, or other filing from Defendant appears on the docket following the Court's scheduling of response deadlines.
3. Plaintiff proceeds in good faith and assumes no irregularity.

However, in light of:

- the importance of accuracy in the Rule 72(a) review posture,
- the dispositive effect LR 7-2(d) may carry depending on the completeness of the record, and
- Plaintiff's obligation to maintain a correct and comprehensive appellate record,

Plaintiff respectfully requests confirmation from the Clerk that the publicly posted docket represents the entirety of the filings and communications in this case that are required to be part of the formal record.

II. REQUESTED RELIEF

Plaintiff respectfully requests:

1. A Clerk's confirmation that all filings, notices, and submissions required to appear on the docket do appear, and that no filings related to ECF 21, 22, 23, 24, or 25 are pending entry.
2. Alternatively, if any filing from any party has been received but not yet docketed, Plaintiff requests that the docket be updated accordingly so that the record reflects the full procedural posture.

III. CLARIFYING NOTE

This Request is not a motion for sanctions, not an objection, and not a challenge to any conduct of counsel or the Court. It preserves Plaintiff's right to rely on the docket as the authoritative case record and ensures that the pending Objection (ECF 25) is adjudicated on a complete and accurate foundation.


IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Plaintiff respectfully asks the Court to confirm the completeness of the docket in this matter.

DATED: November 25, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andy Michael Thompson
Andy Michael Thompson

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Plaintiff, Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 25, 2025, I served this **Plaintiff's Request for Confirmation of Complete Docket and Notice of Record Clarification** via email and U.S. Mail upon:

Gregory D. Ott
Chief Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Nevada Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
gott@ag.nv.gov

/s/ Andy Michael Thompson
Plaintiff Pro Se